Patricia Pfeifer clarified with respect to the tax monies which go back to the State--citizens will pay out for 1985 some 7 million dollars to the State for schools, but important to know that back from the State School Funds Island County receives well over 1 million dollars per month. Therefore, Island County gets back from the State 12 to 14 million dollars for schools a year.

Chairman Dunlop reported that contrary to the fact that the government is always getting more money, that in 1984 Island County received 7.3% less revenue for current expense than in the previous year; therefore, the County was in the position of having to borrow money on a one year basis from the road fund and paying 8% interest as State law permits that the Current Expense fund can utilize an off-setting amount which the Sheriff Patrol actually uses for traffic enforcement, to go into the Current Expense fund, which is what will be used to pay the loan back. He pointed out that all three commissioners own property in the County, pay taxes, and they too are concerned about increasing taxes.

No action was proposed by the Board at this time, inasmuch as the Board had not been afforded an opportunity to know what the situation really adds up to until the matter can be assessed and reviewed. The meeting adjourned at 9:55 p.m. The Board will meet in Regular Session on 16 September 1985 beginning at 9:30 a.m.

BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS
ISLAND COUNTY, WASHINGTON

WM. F. DUNLOP, CHAIRMAN

GARY D. BOSTROM, MEMBER

E. DUANE KEMP, MEMBER

ATTEST:

HARRY H. FERRIER, ISLAND COUNTY
AUDITOR AND EX-OFFICIO CLERK
OF THE BOARD

**********

16 SEPTEMBER 1985

The Board of Island County Commissioners met in Regular Session on 16 September, 1985, at 9:30 a.m. in the Island County Courthouse Annex Hearing Room, Coupeville, Washington, with Commissioners Dunlop, Bostrom and Kemp present. Minutes from the Regular Meetings held on 3 and 9 September, 1985, were approved and signed at this time, along with the Minutes from Special Session held on 9 September 1985.

QUITCLAIM DEED ACCEPTED FOR RIGHT-OF-WAY

Based upon recommendation of the County Engineer, Roy L. Allen, the Board by unanimous motion accepted a Quitclaim Deed for right-of-way acquired during short platting from John and Jean M. Galea, right-of-way Juniper Beach Road, associated with Short Plat 85/09.

SALE OF SURPLUS WOOD APPROVED - LOCATED LAGOON POINT PIT

Upon favorable recommendation from Mr. Allen, the Board unanimously passed a motion approving sale of surplus wood to Gary Barnes accepting Mr. Barnes' bid in the amount of $150.00, for an estimated 4 to 5 cords of wood, uncut, located in the vicinity of Lagoon Point pit.

BUDGET REVISION - STATUS REPORT - DIKING IMPROVEMENT DIST. #4



With regard to Diking Improvement District No. 4, Mr. Allen reported to the Board regarding New Storm Water Outfall and Tide Gate Structure for Diking Improvement District #4, at Useless Bay, Whidbey Island, reading the following letter into the record:

"Gentlemen:

The second bid opening (rebid) for subject project was held 10 September 1985. Considerable effort was expended to generate competitive bidding interest and to avoid a bid opening date conflict with other agency projects. The successful result was receipt of seven bids, which are tabulated below. (Our revised engineering estimate for the Base Bid was $70,000, and $10,000 for Bid Item #1).

| BIDDER | $ BASE BID | $ BID ITEM #1 | TOTAL |
|---|---|---|---|
| 1. A & C Const. Kirkland | 77,641.34 | 7,276.00 | 89,917.34 |
| 2. General Power Corp., Seattle | 74,900.00 | 21,400.00 | 93,300.00 |
| 3. A.H. Powers Co. Seattle | 96,901.42* | -----* | xxxxxx |
| 4. Lakeview Const., Inc., Bellevue | 100,580.00 | 104,860.00** (Base Bid + Item #1) | xxxxxx |
| 5. T-J Enterprises, Inc., Mt. Vernon | 97,305.80 | 11,770.00 | 109,075.80 |
| 6. Osberg Const. Co. Seattle | 97,477.00 | 30,816.00 | 128,293.00 |
| 7. Seaboard Const. Inc., Seattle | 116,707.00 | 22,470.00 | 139.177.00 |
| AVERAGE OF BIDS: | $ 92,806.23 | $ 18,746.40 | $111,522.56 |

(Bids No. 3* and No. 4** are "non-conforming" and are therefore not included in AVERAGE OF BIDS.)

The proposals of A & C Construction and General Power Corporation are attractive, in comparison with the other substantially higher bids and the AVERAGE OF BIDS.

BID ITEM #1 was to lower the entire structure two (2) feet in elevation, as desired by H & H Properties. H & H had agreed (at the on-project site meeting of 17 July 1985) that they would fund the entire lowering cost as being solely for their benefit, if the cost was reasonable.

H & H Properties would pay a very attractive system lowering cost if a total (BASE BID + BID ITEM #1) contract award was made, which would be to A & C Construction. Diking Improvement District #4 would pay the lowest cost if a BASE BID only contract award was made, which would be to General Power Corporation; this $74,900.00 is at the very limit of the District's funding capability.

Therefore if H & H Properties would agree to funding of the BASE BID DIFFERENCE ($77,641.34 less $74,900.00 or $2,741.34) plus $7,276.00 for BID ITEM #1, then the District could make contract award to A & C Construction, at a total cost of $84,917.34 (including sales tax). The contract award share cost to H & H Properties would be $10,017.34; the contract award share cost to the Improvement District would be $74,900.00. My letter of 12 September 1985 to H & H Properties provided them with the above information and requested their intent. I seriously doubt that the drainage system that we would be getting can be designed or obtained any cheaper and will recommend award if H & H Properties agrees. However, to make the award of $84,917.34, the District will need an additional upfront subsidy of $1500.00.

If H & H Properties agrees to the proposed bid award and an addi-