IMPROVEMENT OF PATMORE ROAD: CRP 8-74, RESOLUTION NO. 1325

Tom Cackler, Acting County Engineer, stated that Patmore Road had been broken up badly last winter and had continued to be a source of high road maintenance. He reported that $10,000.00 had been set up to surface the road this summer. Commissioner Christiansen commented that CRP's should be discussed with the Board before any actual work has been done. Commissioner Christiansen made a motion that CRP 8-74, Resolution No. 1325, Improvement of Patmore Road, be so granted. Chairman Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried.

RESOLUTION NO. 1325

COUNTY ROAD PROJECT NO. 8-74

BEFORE THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF ISLAND COUNTY, WASHINGTON

In the matter of: PATMORE ROAD Section 10, Twp 31N, Rge 1E, WM)	)))	Resolution to: Drain, Ballast, and Surface

IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS that it is their intention to:

Grade, Drain, Ballast and construct 2" AC matt pavement on Patmore Road from intersection with Fort Casey Road easterly 0.5 mile
Section 10, Township 31 North, Range 1 East, WM

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT for the foregoing proper county road purpose there is hereby appropriated sums in the following detail:

	Gas Tax Fds.	Rd. Dist. Fds.	Donations	Total
P.S.&E.				
Right of Way				
Items of Work:				
Day Labor				$20,000.00
Contract				
Engineering & Contingencies				
Total				$20,000.00

The county road purpose herein described is HEREBY DECLARED to be a public necessity and the County Road Engineer is HEREBY ORDERED AND AUTHORIZED to report and proceed thereon as by law provided.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this purpose be performed by DAY LABOR in accordance with the Standard Road and Bridge Specifications of the State of Washington as adopted by this Board.

ADOPTED THIS 10th DAY OF June, 1974.

Delmon L. Anderson, Chairman
R. W. Christiansen, Commissioner
, Commissioner

Constituting the Board of County Commissioners of Island County, Washington

(SEAL)

ATTEST:

County Auditor and Ex-Officio Clerk
By E. Duane Kemp
Auditor

RELINQUISHMENT OF PROPERTY RIGHTS - George F. Marin

The installment note from George F. Marin was accepted by the Board 3 June, 1974; subject Relinquishment of Property Rights was held pending varification of proper wording. After checking with David Strong, Deputy Prosecuting Attorney, it was noted the wording was correct. Commissioner Christiansen made a motion that the Board accept Exhibit "B" from George F. Marin and Relinquishment of Property Rights to Dyer Road Extension R/W, Swantown Road to Loerland Lane. Chairman Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried.

INSTALLMENT NOTE AND RELINQUISHMENT OF PROPERTY RIGHTS
Bernard Christiansen

Commissioner Christiansen moved that the Board accept the Installment Note and Relinquishment of Property Rights from Bernard Christiansen at $4,500.00, payable annually for five years at 8% interest. Motion seconded by Chairman Anderson. Motion carried.

TERRY'S CORNER: CRP 22-73A, ESTIMATE #4

Commissioner Christiansen moved that the Board approve payment of the voucher in the amount of $39,257.73 for CRP 22-73A. Chairman Anderson seconded the motion. Motion carried.

ISLAND COUNTY JUVENILE DETENTION COSTS AND POLICY FOR CONTINUED EXPENSE

Ron Hatten, Director, Island County Juvenile Court Services, met with the Board to discuss juvenile detention costs and policy for continued expense for Island County as outlined in his letter to the Commissioners dated 5 June, 1974. Skagit County has set the rate of cost at $12.00 per day per child for juvenile detention costs. This arrangement has not been based on any type of contract or agreement. As of April 10, 1974, a bill has accrued in the amount of $964.00. Mr. Hatten stated that he had not authorized payment of this bill due to the fact that:

(1) Island County is billed $12.00 per day whereas Skagit County residents are billed $9.00 per day.

(2) Payment from many parents had not been received in spite of several letters written to them.

impact statement has been completed and made available to the county executive, the State Department of Ecology, the State Ecological Commission, and the public.

(b) When required to be prepared, the draft and final environmental impact statements or negative declaration of significant impact shall accompany a proposed action through the existing review processes of the county. As new information relating to the environmental impact of a proposed action is received, the responsible official may supplement the final environmental impact statements or declaration of no significant impact. If the responsible official determines that the nature of the proposed action has substantially changed from the time at which he published a final impact statement the responsible official may review the impact statement and recirculate it to the appropriate agencies for their review and comments. If a declaration of no significant impact was initially prepared for a proposed action, the responsible official shall reexamine the threshold process determine that an environmental impact statement shall be prepared to accompany the proposed action through the existing review processes of the county.

Its not being listed here.

(c) The responsible official and other county decision makers shall consider the environmental impact statement or declaration of no significant impact, along with the social, economic and technical considerations, in deciding whether to approve, deny or approve with modifications the proposed action.(21) industry, recreation, education, public buildings, open space;

(d) Where emergency circumstances beyond the control of the responsible official make it necessary to take an action with significant environmental impact without observing the provisions of this ordinance concerning periods for agency review and the availability of the environmental impact statement before major decisions, the responsible official should consult with the county executive about the foreseeable arrangements.

(e) When final action is taken on a project after preparation and use of an EIS in the review and decision making processes of government, the responsible official shall forward a copy of the action taken together with a copy of the final EIS to the County Assessor for his use in valuing the property involved, including property in the immediate vicinity. (Ord. No. PA-73-3, 1973; Vol. p.)

(viii) wildlife;

(ix) vegetation;

(x) grading;

(xi) aesthetics.

(2) Public Works Department

(i) sewer and water systems;

(21) Note the possible effect of a finding that the EIS or negative statement is adequate, Chapter 1974, 1st Ex. Session.

(22) See RCW 43.21C.030(d).

p14.d3
Rev 6/74 p15. d3